|          | 0 0.04 . 0.7005 5140 01/   | -1.40         | E'l 1 4 4 /04 /0 4 | D 1 - ( 0      | D 1D     |  |
|----------|--|---------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|--|
|          | Case 2:24-cv-07065-FMO-SK Documer  | nt 12<br>#:25 | Filed 11/21/24     | Page 1 of 2    | Page ID  |  |
|          |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 1        | Robert Tauler, Esq. (SBN 241964)   |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 2        | robert@taulersmith.com<br>Narain Kumar, Esq. (SBN 301533)<br>nkumar@taulersmith.com<br>TAULER SMITH LLP<br>626 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 550<br>Los Angeles, California 90017<br>Tel: (213) 927-9270 |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 3        |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 4        |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 5        | 101. (213) 927-9270  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 6        | Attorneys for Plaintiff<br>Dana Hughes   |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 7        |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 9        | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 10       | DANA IIIICHEC individually and   | 1 (           | Casa Na 2.24 av    | 07065 EMO      | CIZ      |  |
| 11       | DANA HUGHES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly  |               | NOTICE OF VO       | 7-07065-FMO-SK |          |  |
| 12       | situated; Plaintiff,   |               | DISMISSAL          | LUNIARI        | 1 /XIX I |  |
| 13       | ĺ  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 14       | V. NETSDEND HOLDINGS INC. o  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 15<br>16 | NETSPEND HOLDINGS, INC., a<br>Georgia corporation; DOES 1 throug<br>25, inclusive  | gh            |                    |                |          |  |
| 17       | Defendant.   |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 18       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 18       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 20       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 21       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 22       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 23       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 24       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 25       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 26       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 27       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
| 28       |  |               |                    |                |          |  |
|          | NOTICE (   | OF DIS        | SMISSAL            |                |          |  |

## **NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL**

Plaintiff Dana Hughes, acting through counsel, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i), hereby dismisses this action without prejudice as to her individual claims, and without prejudice to the class claims. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

In addition, there has been no motion for class certification filed in this action, thus no class has been certified, and it is not necessary to provide notice to the absent putative class members. *See* Judge Virginia A. Phillips, et al., Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial, Calif. & 9th Cir. Editions § 10:790 (The Rutter Group 2021) ("There is no requirement of judicial approval for precertification dispositions. The reason is that absent class members generally have no notice of uncertified class actions and therefore cannot be bound or deemed to have relied thereon.") (citing Adv. Comm. Notes to 2003 Amendments to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23).

DATED: November 21, 2024 TAULER SMITH LLP

By: /s/Robert Tauler
Robert Tauler, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Dana Hughes

\_\_